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Background
• Pakistan has hosted maximum refugees in the world
• More than 5 million population internally displaced due to conflict since 2007, mostly from north west border (adjacent to Afghanistan)
• FATA remained hub of terrorist activities since 9/11
• Since 2014, more than 2 million population of FATA displaced (70% are women and children)

Problem Statement
• FATA TDPs have been residing in adjacent settled areas of KP province
• Huge concentration of TDPs in 7 districts spawns massive challenges for hosting community

Objectives
• Measure socio-economic impacts on hosting community

Data
• Household Survey from host community (1150) and TDPs (300) in 2015
• FGDs with host community & TDPs and in-depth interviews with government officials
• District level national & provincial datasets i.e. PSLM, LFS

Methodology
• Per person govt spending is used to calculate the cost of education, health, infrastructure, law & order, water, sanitation etc
• Habitation density index is used to calculate housing deficiency
• Employment impacts were calculated
• All the costs have been estimated with 4 phases of TDPs: the highest population of TDPs (June 2015) to lowest population (February 2017)

Micro Impact of the TDPs on Hosting Community
• 33% locals reported of increase in cutting of trees. 44% reported that urban slums increased while 78% urban reported that waste generation increased
• Price of land for housing has increased by 1.5 times due to TDPs presence
• More than one-third of the local community feel insecurity

Macro Impacts on Hosting Community
• Hosting community have cooperated a lot by sharing their resources and a significant proportion is residing in rent free houses.
• Neither federal nor provincial govt. allocated extra budget for hosting districts
• More than 12 thousand teachers required at the peak population of TDPs
• TDPs led to the shortage of 2873 beds, 446 Doctors and 745 Para medical staff
• TDPs led to the shortage of 734 thousand rooms
• On average the labor force participation is growing in KP by 2 percent on annual basis that rose to 109 percent only in limited 2 to 3 years in selected districts

Policy Implication
• More than 10% TDPs will stay in hosting districts, the govt. should allocate resources
• Sense of insecurity among hosting community require security measures
• Involve private sector in provision of education and health facilities
• Federal and provincial government should launch infrastructure related projects in affected districts to generate economic activities
• Need to improve livelihood and security measures in FATA

Situational Analysis of TDPs Residing in KP Province
• 1% of TDPs lived in camp and 15% in rent free houses. On average 4 persons are residing in one room
• Sold their durable and productive assets (livestock). House rent doubled in just 3 years
• Alarming element was the psychological pressures: 75% suffered depression.
• Four-fifth displaced for more than one year. 90% want go back. 5% reported dispute/conflict with local community

Pressure on Primary School Infrastructure in Hosting Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child Per School</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Per Teacher</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Macro Impacts on Hosting Community

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Govt cost</th>
<th>Community Cost</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitation etc</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road infrast.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law and order</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Govt.</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>2079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livelihood</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Cost</td>
<td>2256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>2415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>