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Part 1.

Adding middle-income countries to LIS: origins and history; current and future developments.
Origins and (brief) history:

1983: LIS, a cross-national microdata archive, was founded in Luxembourg, with pioneering participants from six high-income countries.

1983-2003: LIS grew rapidly, retaining its core focus on high-income (EU/OECD) countries; exceptions were several Eastern European countries and Mexico.

Early 2000s: LIS leadership (board, directors, data team) decided to prioritize adding middle-income countries; several key partners helped, especially with dataset acquisition, e.g., the World Bank, UNDP, and UNICEF.
Current and future developments:

Currently: The LIS Database now contains 12 upper-middle income countries (Brazil, China, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru, Romania, Russia, Serbia, South Africa) and 3 lower-middle income countries (Egypt, Georgia, India), for a total of 15 out of 51 countries, based on 2018 income classifications. (Note: Several countries in the LIS Database have moved from middle- to high income – mainly from Eastern Europe, also Chile, Panama, and Uruguay).

Additional middle-income country datasets are in various pipelines (with varying degrees of certainty): Viet Nam, Côte d’Ivoire, Laos, Thailand, Philippines, Cambodia, Bangladesh... and more.
Current and future developments:

**Template revision, 2019:** The LIS data team released a substantially revised and improved data template on April 30, 2019. One of the driving principles (as with LIS’ 2011 template revision) was to improve the fit with microdata from middle-income countries.

**Strengthening old partnership, building new ones:** In the last five years, LIS has been strengthening old partnerships and building new ones with, e.g., UNDP, UN-Women, UNICEF, UN Statistics Division, UN Missions; WIDER; the World Bank; the Economic Research Forum; and development agencies in Luxembourg and France.
Part 2.

Specific challenges of harmonizing data from middle-income countries: empirical illustrations.
Empirical highlights from:

Section 2 of LIS WP:

The challenges of harmonizing data from middle-income countries
Figure 1: Household composition in LIS countries

Household composition in LIS countries
Percentage of complex households

Note: Middle-income countries are highlighted in red, and countries that recently moved from middle- to high-income are highlighted in orange (World Bank).

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
Figure 2: Employment among the elderly

Note: middle-income countries are highlighted in red, and countries that recently moved from middle- to high-income status (World Bank) are highlighted in orange.

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
Figure 3: Extent of multiple jobs holders

Note: middle-income countries are highlighted in red, and countries that recently moved from middle- to high-income status (World Bank) are highlighted in orange.

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
Figure 4: Impact of non-monetary incomes

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
Figure 5: Labour income availability at the individual level

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
Figure 6: Taxes and social security contributions as a percentage of total gross income

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
Figure 7: Extent of missing or zero income

Source: authors, based on data from the LIS database.
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