Does an Access to Credit Help Households in Recovering Natural Disaster Losses? Experience from Bangladesh

M. Jahangir Alam Chowdhury University of Dhaka

UN-WIDER Conference on "Climate Change and Development Policy Conference", September 28-29, 2012, Helsinki, Finland



Outline of the Presentation

- ✓ Introduction
- ✓ Objective
- ✓ Econometric model
- ✓ Data
- ✓ Results
- ✓ Conclusion



Introduction

- ✓ Natural disasters increase poverty and deprivation of people of affected areas.
- ✓ Negative effects are not equal for all households.
- ✓ The negative effects on assets are relatively longer term and more acute for the lowest wealth group than other wealth groups in the society.
- ✓ Due to the natural disaster, some of the lower strata households fall into perpetual poverty traps without having a very little hope of escaping it.
- ✓ Besides other factors, the extent of recovery of lost assets also depends on the access to local markets, especially financial markets, and institutions.



Introduction

- ✓ If the markers are full and complete, all households have the same access to local markets and institutions.
- ✓ HHs can use loan and insurance contracts for coping with assets and income losses caused by the natural disasters.
- ✓ The loans and insurance contracts help households to rebuild their lost assets quickly.
- ✓ In developing countries, markets-especially credit and insurance markets-are not full and complete.



Introduction

- ✓ Formal sector credit and insurance markets are not available in many areas in developing countries, specially in Bangladesh.
- ✓ In absence of formal credit and insurance markets, households, particularly poor households, depend on informal credit and insurance markets for coping with natural disaster losses.
- ✓ Carter et. al. (2007) concludes that an access to capital markets helps households to recover asset losses significantly.



Objective

✓ This paper intends to examine how financial markets institutions, formal and informal, help households in rural areas of Bangladesh in recovering total asset and non-asset losses that incur from natural disasters.



Econometric model

$$Miti_{ij} = \beta Access_{j} + \Sigma \varphi X_{ij} + \Sigma \delta Z_{j} + u_{i}$$

Miti_{ij} = $\eta LOAN_{ij} + \sigma SLOAN_{ij} + \Sigma \varphi X_{ij} + \Sigma \delta Z_{j} + u_{i}$
Miti_{ij} = $\Sigma \Omega LS_{ik} + \Sigma \varphi X_{ij} + \Sigma \delta Z_{j} + u_{i}$
Where

- Miti_{ii} = The extent of HH's disaster loss recovery;
- $Access_i =$ An access to credit;
- Loan= Amount of loan;
- LS_{ij} = Different loan sources;
 - A vector of household socio-economic characteristics; and
 - A vector of village-level characteristics;



 $X_i =$

 $Z_i =$

Data

- ✓ The analysis is based on a household-level survey of randomly selected two thousand six hundred and eighty (N=2680) households from 140 villages in different parts of the country.
- ✓ Besides information on natural disaster loss and recovery, and access to credit, the survey collected detailed information at the household as well as village level.



 Table 4: Summary Statistics

| Variables | Definitions | Mean | S.D. |
|------------|--|------|-------|
| MITIGATION | Extent of household disaster loss mitigation | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| ACCESS | Dummy for Access to Credit; 1 for Access and 0 otherwise | 0.39 | - |
| LOAN | Total credit amount of a household in Taka | 8936 | 37038 |
| LOANCB | Total credit amount from commercial banks (in Taka) | 2494 | 18488 |
| LOANMFI | Total credit amount from MFIs (in Taka) | 785 | 6874 |
| LOANCBO | Total credit amount from CBOs (in Taka) | 282 | 2648 |
| LOANNGO | Total credit amount from NGOs (in Taka) | 2713 | 19199 |
| LOANML | Total credit amount from money lenders (in Taka) | 1618 | 21285 |
| LOANFF | Total credit amount from family and friends (in Taka) | 761 | 8447 |
| LOANSUPP | Total credit amount from suppliers for business (in Taka) | 282 | 8124 |
| | M. Jahangir Alam Chowdhury University of Dhaka | | 9 |

Results

Table 1. Disaster Loss as % of total household assets by socio-economic status of households

| No. ofSocio-economic | | Total non land asset (in Tal | Disaster loss (in Taka) | | Loss as % of total assets | |
|----------------------|------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Class | | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | |
| | | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (3)/(1) |
| Hardcore poor | 1341 | 41,485 (\$602) | 87,376 (\$1266) | 23,548 (\$341) | 65,148 (944) | 57% |
| Poor | 808 | 71,287 (\$1,033) | 11,8201 (\$1,713) | 34,499 (\$500) | 61,183 (\$887) | 48% |
| Non-poor | 489 | 221,357 (\$3,208) | 717,771 (\$10,402) | 49,372 (\$716) | 91,275 (\$1,323) | 22% |



Table 2

Disaster Loss recovery as % of total disaster loss by Socio-Economic Status of Households

| Socio-economic Class | No. of observations | Disaster loss (in Taka) | | Loss recovery (in Taka) | | Extent of loss |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | recovery |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (3)/(1) |
| Hardcore poor | 1341 | 23,548 (\$341) | 65,148 (944) | 4,175 (\$61) | 10,262 (\$149) | 18% |
| Poor | 808 | 34,499 (\$500) | 61,183 (\$887) | 5,780 (\$84) | 12,007 (\$174) | 17% |
| Non-poor | 489 | 49,372 (\$716) | 91,275 (\$1,323) | 7,371 (\$107) | 17,780 (\$258) | 15% |



Table 3Disaster Loss recovery as % of total disaster loss by disaster area

| Area | No. of | Disaster loss | | Loss recovery | | Extent of |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| observations | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | loss recovery | |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (3)/(1) |
| Non-disaster area | 269 | 8,530 (\$124) | 77,817 (1,128) | 1,862 (\$27) | 12,543 (\$182) | 22% |
| Disaster area-flood | 1077 | 25,050 (\$363) | 24,405 (\$354) | 4,986 (\$72) | 9,207 (\$133) | 19% |
| Disaster area-Cyclone | 1292 | 42,044 (\$609) | 68,266 (\$989) | 6,193 (\$90) | 13,037 (\$189) | 15% |



Table 5 & 6OLS estimates of disaster loss recovery

| Explanatory Variables | Dependent variable: extent of household disaster loss recovery | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------|--|
| | (1) | (2) | |
| Access | 0.06*** | | |
| Loan | | 6.69e-07*** | |
| SLoan | | -8.74e-13*** | |
| Constant | 0.111** | 0.113** | |
| Observations | 2373 | 2373 | |
| R-squared | 0.080 | 0.070 | |



Natural Disaster and Access to Credit Table 7 OLS estimates of disaster loss recovery Explanatory Dependent variable: extent of household Variables disaster loss recovery (3)LOANCB -6.71e-07 LOANMFI 3.67e-06* LOANCBO 6.45e-06** LOANNGO 1.35e-06*LOANML 1.64e-06*** LOANFF 1.21e-06LOANSUPP 2.01e-06 0.115** Constant 2371 Observations 0.077 **R**-squared M. Jahangir Alam Chowdhury 14 **University of Dhaka**

Conclusion

- ✓An access to credit helps households in recovering natural disaster losses of households through reducing their liquidity constraint.
- ✓ There is a non-linearity in the relationship between the amount of credit and the extent of disaster loss recovery of households.
- ✓ The extent of disaster loss recovery goes up to a certain amount of credit and it starts declining after that amount of credit.
- ✓ Informal financial sources contribute more to the recovery of household disaster loss compared to formal financial sources.



Thanks

